**APPENDIX 5** 

Southwark southwark.gov.uk

# Equality Impact & Needs Analysis – Amalgamation of Cobourg and Camelot Primary Schools in August 2023



#### Things to remember:

Under the Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED) public authorities are required to have due regard to the aims of the general equality duty when making decisions and when setting policies. Understanding the affect of the council's policies and practices on people with different protected characteristics is an important part of complying with the general equality duty. Under the PSED the council must ensure that:

• Decision-makers are aware of the general equality duty's requirements.

- The general equality duty is complied with before and at the time a particular policy is under consideration and when a decision is taken.
- They consciously consider the need to do the things set out in the aims of the general equality duty as an integral part of the decisionmaking process.
- They have sufficient information to understand the effects of the policy, or the way a function is carried out, on the aims set out in the general equality duty.
- They review policies or decisions, for example, if the make-up of service users changes, as the general equality duty is a continuing duty.
- They take responsibility for complying with the general equality duty in relation to all their relevant functions. Responsibility cannot be delegated to external organisations that are carrying out public functions on their behalf.
- They consciously consider the need to do the things set out in the aims of the general equality duty not only when a policy is developed and decided upon, but when it is being implemented.

Best practice guidance from the Equality and Human Rights Commission recommends that public bodies:

- Consider all the protected characteristics and all aims of the general equality duty (apart from in relation to marriage and civil partnership, where only the discrimination aim applies).
- Use equality analysis to inform policy as it develops to avoid unnecessary additional activity.
- Focus on the understanding the effects of a policy on equality and any actions needed as a result, not the production of a document.
- Consider how the time and effort involved should relate to the importance of the policy to equality.

- Think about steps to advance equality and good relations as well as eliminate discrimination.
- Use good evidence. Where it isn't available, take steps to gather it (where practical and proportionate).
- Use insights from engagement with employees, service users and others can help provide evidence for equality analysis.

Equality analysis should be referenced in community impact statements in Council reports. Community impact statements are a corporate requirement in all reports to the following meetings: the cabinet, individual decision makers, scrutiny, regulatory committees and community councils. Community impact statements enable decision makers to identify more easily how a decision might affect different communities in Southwark and to consider any implications for equality and diversity.

The public will be able to view and scrutinise any equality analysis undertaken. Equality analysis should therefore be written in a clear and transparent way using plain English.

Equality analysis may be published under the council's publishing of equality information, or be present with divisional/departmental/service business plans. These will be placed on the website for public view under the council's Publications Scheme.

Equality analysis should be reviewed after a sensible period of time to see if business needs have changed and/or if the effects that were expected have occurred. If not then you will need to consider amending your policy accordingly. This does not mean repeating the equality analysis, but using the experience gained through implementation to check the findings and to make any necessary adjustments.

Engagement with the community is recommended as part of the development of equality analysis. The council's Community Engagement Division and critical friend, the Forum for Equality and Human Rights in Southwark can assist with this (see section below on community engagement and <u>www.southwarkadvice.org.uk</u>).

Whilst the equality analysis is being considered, Southwark Council recommends considering Socio-Economic implications, as socioeconomic inequalities have a strong influence on the environment we live and work in. As a major provider of services to Southwark residents, the council has a legal duty to reduce socio-economic inequalities and this is reflected in its values and aims. For this reason, the council recommends considering socio-economic impacts in all equality analyses, not forgetting to include identified potential mitigating actions.

Similarly, it is important for the Council to consider the impact of its policies and decisions in relation to tackling the climate emergency. This includes both the potential carbon emissions of a policy or decision and its potential effect on the borough's biodiversity. You are asked to consider the impact on climate of your policy and decision under discussion by competing the Climate impact section below.

Section 1: Equality impact and needs analysis details

Proposed policy/decision/	The amalgamation of Camelot and
business plan to which this	Cobourg Primary Schools in
equality analysis relates	September 2023

Equality ar	nalysis author	Ric Euteneuer			
Strategic D	)irector:	David Quirk	ke-Thornton		
Department		Childrens' & Adults'		Divi	Educatio
Departmen		Official official		sion	n
Period ana	lysis undertaken	June 2023			
Date of applicable	(	if June 2024			
Sign-off	Nina Dohel	Position	Director of Educatio n	Date	23/6/23

#### 2.1 Brief description of policy/decision/business plan

Cobourg Primary School is a one-form entry (1FE) school near to the Old Kent Road and Burgess Park. In their latest inspection in 2022, Cobourg was rated *"Requires Improvement"* by Ofsted, the second time the school has received this rating. The school has significant vacancy levels: it has a capacity of 390 across all year groups of the school, of which 178 places are empty. It is proposed to amalgamate Cobourg with Camelot Primary school, with all pupils being offered at place at the latter and Cobourg school then closing.

Section 3: Overview of service users and key stakeholders consulted

3. Service users	s and stakeholders
Key users of the department or service	<ul> <li>Children (2-11 years old) attending a primary, infants, juniors or attached nursery setting in Southwark</li> <li>Parents, carers and families of those children.</li> <li>School staff (teaching or non-teaching)</li> <li>Governors of those schools</li> <li>Local Authority departments (Children's Social Care, Education)</li> </ul>
Key stakeholders were/are involved in this policy/decisio n/business plan	<ul> <li>Head teachers of all primary schools in Southwark</li> <li>Governors of all primary schools in Southwark</li> </ul>

Section 4: Pre-implementation equality impact and needs analysis

This section considers the potential impacts (positive and negative) on groups with 'protected characteristics', the equality information on which this analysis is based, any mitigating actions to be taken and importantly any improvement actions to promote equality and tackle inequalities. It is important to also understand impacts as including needs of different groups.

#### Due regard is about considering the needs of different protected characteristics in relation to each part of the duty as relevant and proportionate to the area at hand.

An equality analysis also presents as an opportunity to improve services to meet diverse needs, promote equality, tackle inequalities and promote good community relations. It is not just about addressing negative impacts. It is important to consider any actions which can be considered to advance equality of opportunity through positive actions, for example.

The columns include societal issues (discrimination, exclusion, needs etc.) and socio- economic issues (levels of poverty, employment, income). As the two aspects are heavily interrelated it may not be practical to fill out both columns on all protected characteristics.

The aim is, however, to ensure that socio-economic issues are given special consideration, as it is the council's intention to reduce socioeconomic inequalities in the borough. Key is also the link between protected characteristics and socio-economic disadvantage, including experiences of multiple disadvantage.

Socio-economic disadvantage may arise from a range of factors, including:

- poverty
- health
- education
- *limited social mobility*
- housing
- a lack of expectations
- discrimination
- *multiple disadvantage*

The public sector equality duty **(PSED)** requires us to find out about and give due consideration to the needs of different protected characteristics in relation to the three parts of the duty:

- 1. Eliminating discrimination, harassment and victimisation
- 2. Advancing equality of opportunity, including finding out about and meeting diverse needs of our local communities, addressing disadvantage and barriers to equal access; enabling all voices to be heard in our engagement and

consultation undertaken; increasing the participation of underrepresented groups

3. Fostering good community relations; promoting good relations; to be a borough where all feel welcome, included, valued, safe and respected.

The PSED is now also further reinforced in the two additional Fairer Future For All values: that we will

- Always work to make Southwark more equal and just
- Stand against all forms of discrimination and racism

<b>Age -</b> Where this is referred to, it refers to a person belonging to a particular age (e.g. 32 year olds) or range of ages (e.g. 18 - 30 year olds).				
Potential impacts (positive and negative) of proposed policy/decision/business plan; this also includes needs in relation to each part of the duty.	Potential Socio- Economic impacts/ needs/issues arising from socio-economic disadvantage (positive and negative)			
The amalgamation of the Cobourg and Camelot schools and the consequent education in the overall PAN of the combined school would ostensibly reduce choice for parents. Therefore it could potentially differentially affect all age groups from 4-11 (children) and parents (generally 18-50). This, however, does not take into account the	As outlined in the adjacent "potential impacts (positive and negative) of proposed policy" column, the potential socio-economic impacts of closing the school as regards to age will be minimal. The proposed			
<ul> <li>i) reduction in pupils numbers and applications for the school</li> <li>ii) reduction in the births in the locality</li> <li>iii) the outmigration of children from the locality and Southwark as a whole</li> </ul>	changes will not effectively change the intake and relative demographics of the children attending the school, around half of which come from the ward the			
Due to i), ii) and iii), there has been a considerable fall in demand for places at the school, and numbers have fallen to an extent that the combined school would have the same numbers as 2 separate schools. Therefore, the loss of "choice" will be largely theoretical.	schools is situated in. This proportion is not expected to change, nor are the (relative) percentages of the school intake.			
Moving from a 'Requires Improvement' to a 'Good' school would be expected to have a positive education impact on pupils.	It is recognized that changing schools and needing a new school			

						finan incon being	cial i ne fai	niles. wided	t on Supp	/e a lower port is n the
Equality infor based	mation or	n whicl	n abov	e analy	ysis is		h abc		ic da nalysi	
	e has been as lost 46 (Seconda lost 46 (	en a <b>4</b> purce, pupils <b>4 5</b> 51 60 6 50 48 5 51 42 4 51 42 4 51 42 4 51 42 4	<b>2%</b> los School , or 12° <b>6</b> 30 362 58 309 15 26° 15 240 39 212	ss of p <i>Censu</i> % of th <b>Total</b> 2 9 1	oupils ( <b>151</b> uses 2019-	Kent 2021 simila popu in the in the there for ac The the	Road data ar pe lation warc e boi is no dditior under ward	d wa rcenta agec l (23% rough t a pre nal scl 4 co popu	rd. C ows t age d (0-19 6) that (21% essing hool p mpon ulatior	ne Old ensus hat a of the lives h lives (a), so need laces. ent of has since
2020 37 45 2021 42 46	19483651586261663822037453551595834421424650355461623502245454850355260335				2011 Age 0-4 5-9 10-	<b>2011</b> 1,345 1,009 1,215	<b>2021</b> 1,071 1,132 1,183	+/- -279 +123 -32	% -20% +12% -3%	
applying for C	In terms of applications for the schools, the numbers applying for Cobourg show a steep fall both in terms of first choices and choices overall			14 15- 19 <b>0-</b> <b>19</b>	1,088 <b>4,657</b>	1,157 <b>4,543</b>	+149 -114	+14% -2%		
Cobourg 20	19 2020	2021	2022	2023	]	Over	all t	he	unde	r 19
1 <sup>st</sup> 45		25	25	13		comp	onen	t	of	the
All 87		61	51	42						n and
Camelot 20		2021	2022	2023						future
1 <sup>st</sup> 45		31	31	42		prima	ary pu	pils in	the v	vard
All         87         93         83         66         77           (Source, School Censuses January 2019-2023)				2 <i>3</i> )	pupil	num	oers i	n this	/ that ward	
ii) the schools (Cobourg PA1			•	•	•			ue to f sus 20	•	ource,

Kent Road" ward of the Council. Both take pupils in from further afield – 81 (18%) at Cobourg and 174 (27%) from Camelot of the pupils at both schools come from this ward. A breakdown by planning area for each school is given below, with Cobourg taking more pupils from the north of the borough and Camelot further south, particularly in Peckham

PA	Cobourg	Camelot	Cobourg	Camelot
1	39	25	17%	7%
2	60	30	27%	8%
3	100	251	44%	69%
4	10	15	4%	4%
5	2	8	1%	2%
OB	14	37	6%	10%
Total	225	366	100%	100%

#### At a ward level the figures show much the same

PA	Ward	Cobourg		Cobourg	Camelot
1	Borough & Bankide	0	1	0%	0%
1	Chaucer	4	1	1%	0%
1	Faraday	21	12	5%	2%
1	Newington	1	0	0%	0%
1	North Walworth	4	6	1%	1%
1,2 & 3 1	Old Kent Road	81	174	18%	23%
1	St George's	1	4	0%	1%
2	London Bridge & WB	3	1	1%	0%
2	North Bermondsey	6	3	1%	0%
2	Rotherhithe	3	5	1%	1%
2	South Bermondsey	10	11	2%	1%
2	Surrey Docks	1	0	0%	0%
3	Nunhead & Queens Rd	10	35	2%	5%
3	Peckham	52	42	11%	5%
3	Peckham Rye	1	2	0%	0%
3	Rye Lane	3	8	1%	1%

4	Camberwell Green	7	9	2%	1%
4	Champion Hill	0	2	0%	0%
4	St Giles	4	6	1%	1%
5	Dulwich Hill	1	0	0%	0%
5	Dulwich Village	0	4	0%	1%
5	Dulwich Wood	0	5	0%	1%
OB	Outborough	11	37	2%	5%

(Source, Pupil Census January 2023, ONS Census and Birth data 2021-22)

iii) In terms of outmigration, there has been net outmigration of pupils aged from 0-15 in recent years, and this continues to be the case – the net migration figures by age below (GLA migration estimates, 2023)

Mitigating and/or improvement actions to be taken

Support with meeting the cost of uniforms will be provided to children moving from Cobourg to Camelot schools. No further mitigation actions have been identified as being required in respect of age

**Disability -** A person has a disability if s/he has a physical or mental impairment which has a substantial and long-term adverse effect on that person's ability to carry out normal day-to-day activities. Please note that under the PSED due regard includes: Giving due consideration in all relevant areas to "the steps involved in meeting the needs of disabled persons that are different from the needs of persons who are not disabled include, in particular, steps to take account of disabled persons' disabilities." **This also includes the need to understand and focus on different needs/impacts arising from different disabilities.** 

Potential impacts (positive and negative) of proposed policy/decision/business plan; this also includes needs in relation to each part of the duty.	Potential socio-economic impacts/ needs/issues arising from socio-economic disadvantage (positive and negative)
The reduction of the amalgamation and closure of Cobourg Primary School will have a negligible effect on disabilities, as the facilities and services offered on the school site will not change. There is a potential positive impact of children with disabilities moving from a 'requires improvement' to a 'good' school – Camelot school has been found by Ofsted	socio-economic impacts arising from socio-economic disadvantage

as offering good 'support for pupils who have special educational needs (SEN) and/or disabilities' There could be some disruption in routines for children with higher needs as a result of moving schools. There are five children with Education, Health and Care Plans attending Cobourg school whose individual plans are being reviewed and detailed transition plans discussed between schools.	
No children currently attending Cobourg school require travel assistance from the local authority as a result of their Special Educational Needs or Disabilities.	
Equality information on which above analysis is based	Socio-economic data on which analysis is based
No central record of pupil disability is maintained by the LA, but a proxy measure is the number of children with Education and Healthcare Plans (EHCPs), or pupils identified as "SEND Plus". Camelot and Cobourg Primary are around or slightly below the same level of EHCPs nationally and Londonwide. The SEND Plus percentages are slightly above local, regional and national averages. Type Cobourg Camelot LBS LDN EHCP 2.6% 2.9% 3.4% 4.1% SEND+ 19.0% 18.2% 15.9% 11.7% (Source, School Census January 2023- EHCPs and SEN Support, DfE Statistics 2022) In terms of staffing, no record of disability is maintained by the LA or school, but disability would not be a hindrance to recruitment or redeployment from Cobourg to Camelot or other schools.	As there is no perceptible potential socio-economic impacts/needs/issues arising from socio-economic disadvantage for people with disabilities, no data has been identified.
Mitigating and/or improvement actions to be take Individual support is being provided to children wit Education, Health and Care Plans and discussing t	h disabilities including reviewing
schools. No further mitigating or improvement actions have be Conder reassignment:	een identified as required.

Gender reassignment:The process of transitioning from one gender to another.

**Gender Identity:** Gender identity is the personal sense of one's own gender. Gender identity can correlate with a person's recorded sex or can differ from it.

Potential impacts (positive and negative) of proposed policy/decision/business plan; this also includes needs in relation to each part of the duty.	Potential socio-economic impacts/ needs/issues arising from socio-economic disadvantage (positive and negative)
Gender reassignment is unlikely to involve children of primary age but the appropriate support would be provided to any child to whom this applies. As regards staffing gender reassignment would form no part of the recruitment or indeed the redeployment process, so would not negatively impact on staffing.	There will be little or no potential socio-economic impacts/needs/issues arising from socio-economic disadvantage resulting from gender reassignment.
Equality information on which above analysis is based.	Socio-economic data on which above analysis is based
Data is not collected for children, parents or carers on gender reassignment. It is likely to be such a small number as to make it statistically insignificant. In the 2021 Census, 0.6% of the UK population identified themselves as not having the same gender they were born with. In London, this rose to 1.4%, and Southwark, 1.2%. ( <i>Source, ONS</i>	As there is no perceptible potential socio-economic impacts/needs/issues arising from socio-economic disadvantage for people with gender reassignment, no appropriate or useful data has
Census 2021) Mitigating and/or improvement actions to be tal	been identified.

As there have been no negative impacts relating to gender reassignment identified, no mitigating or improvement actions are proposed.

Marriage and civil partnership – In England and Wales marriage is no longer restricted to a union between a man and a woman but now includes a marriage between a same-sex couples. Same-sex couples can also have their relationships legally recognised as 'civil partnerships'. Civil partners must not be treated less favourably than married couples and must be treated the same as married couples on a wide range of legal matters. (Only to be considered in respect to the need to eliminate discrimination.)

Potential impacts (positive and negative) proposed policy/decision/business plan	of	Potentialsocio-economicimpacts/needs/issuesarisingfromsocio-economicdisadvantage(positive(positiveandnegative)

Marriage or civil p children of prima children of married The marital statu pupils forms no children are adm criteria alone As regards staffin staff are kept at ei be the case, the staff member or p the recruitment or would not negative	e adjacent "potential impacts of the proposed policy", the marital status of the parents or carers of school pupils forms no part of the admissions process. Children are admitted based on sibling, medical or distance criteria alone. Therefore there are no realistic socio- economic impacts,								
				needs or issues arising					
				from socio-economic					
				disadvantage relating to marital status.					
Equality informa	ation o	n which at	ove analysis i						
based	Dased								
• •				on which above analysis is based					
• •	mainta	ined on the	e marital or civ	analysis is based					
based				analysis is basedilAsthereisno					
based No records are partnership status of either School. F	of pare igures a	nts & carers t a ward, bor	, or staff member ough, regional an	analysis is basedilAsthereisnosperceptiblepotentialdsocio-economic					
based No records are partnership status	of pare igures a	nts & carers t a ward, bor	, or staff member ough, regional an	analysis is basedilAsthereisnosperceptiblepotentialdsocio-economicnimpacts/needs/issues					
based No records are partnership status of either School. F national level for by marital and civ	of pare igures a the perc /il partne	nts & carers t a ward, bor centage of th ership status	, or staff member ough, regional an ne local populatio s are given below	analysis is basedilAsthereisnosperceptiblepotentialdsocio-economicnimpacts/needs/issuesv.arisingfromsocio-					
based No records are partnership status of either School. F national level for by marital and civ Old Kent Road wa	of pare igures a the perc /il partno ird is slig	nts & carers t a ward, bor centage of th ership status phtly higher th	, or staff member ough, regional an le local populatio s are given below han the Southwar	analysis is basedilAsthereisnosperceptiblepotentialdsocio-economicnimpacts/needs/issuesv.arisingfromsocio-keconomic disadvantage					
based No records are partnership status of either School. F national level for by marital and civ Old Kent Road wa average, but som	of pare igures a the pero vil partno rd is slig ne way a	nts & carers t a ward, bor centage of th ership status ghtly higher th adrift on Lor	, or staff member ough, regional an le local populatio s are given below han the Southwar ndon and Englan	analysis is basedilAsthereisnosperceptiblepotentialdsocio-economicnimpacts/needs/issuesv.arisingfromsocio-keconomic disadvantage					
based No records are partnership status of either School. F national level for by marital and civ Old Kent Road wa	of pare igures a the pero vil partno rd is slig ne way a	nts & carers t a ward, bor centage of th ership status ghtly higher th adrift on Lor	, or staff member ough, regional an le local populatio s are given below han the Southwar ndon and Englan	analysis is basedilAs there is nosperceptible potentialdsocio-economicnimpacts/needs/issuesv.arising from socio-keconomic disadvantagedfor people with genderreassignment, no					
based No records are partnership status of either School. F national level for by marital and civ Old Kent Road wa average, but som averages (Source Area	of pare igures a the pero vil partno rd is slig ne way a	nts & carers t a ward, bor centage of th ership status ghtly higher th adrift on Lor	, or staff member ough, regional an le local populatio s are given below han the Southwar ndon and Englan	analysis is basedilAs there is nosperceptible potentialdsocio-economicnimpacts/needs/issuesv.arising from socio-keconomic disadvantagedfor people with genderreassignment, noappropriate or useful					
based No records are partnership status of either School. F national level for by marital and civ Old Kent Road wa average, but som averages (Source	of pare igures a the pero vil partno ird is slig ie way a 0NS 0 % 27.5	nts & carers t a ward, bor centage of th ership status ghtly higher th adrift on Lor Census 2021	, or staff member ough, regional an le local populatio s are given below han the Southwar ndon and Englan	analysis is basedilAs there is nosperceptible potentialdsocio-economicnimpacts/needs/issuesv.arising from socio-keconomic disadvantagedfor people with genderreassignment, noappropriate or usefuldatahas					
based No records are partnership status of either School. F national level for by marital and civ Old Kent Road wa average, but som averages (Source Area	of pare igures a the pero vil partno ird is slig e way a , ONS C	nts & carers t a ward, bor centage of th ership status ghtly higher th adrift on Lor Census 2021 Area	, or staff member ough, regional an le local populatio s are given below han the Southwar ndon and Englan ) <u>%</u>	analysis is basedilAs there is nosperceptible potentialdsocio-economicnimpacts/needs/issuesv.arising from socio-keconomic disadvantagedfor people with genderreassignment, noappropriate or useful					
based No records are partnership status of either School. F national level for by marital and civ Old Kent Road wa average, but som averages ( <i>Source</i> <b>Area</b> Old Kent Road Southwark <b>Mitigating action</b>	of pare igures a the pero vil partno rd is slig e way a , ONS C % 27.5 26.4 s to be	nts & carers t a ward, bor centage of th ership status ghtly higher th adrift on Lor <u>Census 2021</u> <u>Area</u> England London taken	, or staff member ough, regional an he local populatio s are given below han the Southwar ndon and Englan ) <u>%</u> 44.5 39.7	analysis is basedilAs there is nosperceptible potentialdsocio-economicnimpacts/needs/issuesv.arising from socio-keconomic disadvantagedfor people with genderreassignment, noappropriate or usefuldata has beenidentified.					
based No records are partnership status of either School. F national level for by marital and civ Old Kent Road wa average, but som averages ( <i>Source</i> <b>Area</b> Old Kent Road Southwark <b>Mitigating action</b> As there have be	of pare igures a the pero vil partno ind is slig e way a <i>o</i> ONS 0 0 27.5 26.4 <b>s to be</b> een no r	nts & carers t a ward, bor entage of th ership status htly higher th adrift on Lor <u>Census 2021</u> <u>Area</u> <u>England</u> <u>London</u> taken negative imp	, or staff member ough, regional an he local populatio s are given below han the Southwar ndon and Englan ) <u>%</u> 44.5 39.7	analysis is basedilAs there is nosperceptible potentialdsocio-economicnimpacts/needs/issuesv.arising from socio-keconomic disadvantagedfor people with genderreassignment, noappropriate or usefuldata has beenidentified.					
based No records are partnership status of either School. F national level for by marital and civ Old Kent Road wa average, but som averages ( <i>Source</i> <b>Area</b> Old Kent Road Southwark <b>Mitigating action</b>	of pare igures a the pero vil partno ind is slig e way a <i>o</i> ONS 0 0 27.5 26.4 <b>s to be</b> een no r	nts & carers t a ward, bor entage of th ership status htly higher th adrift on Lor <u>Census 2021</u> <u>Area</u> <u>England</u> <u>London</u> taken negative imp	, or staff member ough, regional an he local populatio s are given below han the Southwar ndon and Englan ) <u>%</u> 44.5 39.7	analysis is basedilAs there is nosperceptible potentialdsocio-economicnimpacts/needs/issuesv.arising from socio-keconomic disadvantagedfor people with genderreassignment, noappropriate or usefuldata has beenidentified.					

**Pregnancy and maternity -** Pregnancy is the condition of being pregnant or expecting a baby. Maternity refers to the period after the birth, and is linked to maternity leave in the employment context. In the non-work context, protection

against maternity discrimination is for 26 weeks after giving birth, and this includes treating a woman unfavourably because she is breastfeeding.

	Potential socio-
	economic impacts/
Potential impacts (positive and negative) of	needs/issues arising
proposed policy/decision/business plan; this also	from socio-economic
includes needs in relation to each part of the duty.	disadvantage
	(positive and
	negative)
Pregnancy and maternity are unlikely to directly involve	As mentioned in the
children of primary age, and so there not be any potential	adjacent " <i>potential</i>
impacts of the strategy on pupils. Given the extensive	impacts of the proposed
level of vacancies, it is also unlikely to affect parental	<i>policy</i> ", pregnancy/
choice.	maternity status of the
	parents/carers of
The pregnancy and maternity rate in Southwark has been	school pupils forms no
falling for many years.	part of the admissions
	process, and children
As regards staffing, school employees contracts mean	are not admitted based
that they are paid for some of their pregnancy and	on this status. Similarly,
maternity leave; the pregnancy status of a staff member	this status is not part of
or potential applicant would form no part of the	the recruitment
recruitment or indeed the redeployment process, so	process. Therefore
would not negatively impact on staffing. If any staff	there are no realistic
member is pregnant or on maternity leave, the HR	socio-economic
processes in place to deal with redeployment and	impacts, needs or
redundancy in closure of the school will be carried out in	issues arising from
a way that will not result in any discrimination.	socio-economic
	disadvantage relating
	to pregnancy or
	maternity status.
	Socio-economic data
Equality information on which above analysis is	on which above
based	analysis is based
Fertility is measured at a range of rates and geographies	As there is no
by the ONS. These include the "GFR" and "TFR". The	perceptible potential
<i>"General Fertility Rate (GFR)</i> " is the number of live births	socio-economic
per 1,000 women aged 15-44. The Total Fertility Rate	impacts/needs/issues
(TFR) is the number of births per woman aged 15-44	arising from socio-
Area GFR TFR	economic disadvantage
Southwark 44 1.14	for people with

Inner London London England	48 56 56	1.28 1.52 1.62		pregnancy or maternity status, no appropriate or useful data has been identified.
(Source, GLA From this, we compared th another expla pupils' number Mitigating ar As there have	VONS 2021 ( e can see So e rest of Lo anation, toge ers in Southwand/or improve e been no neg	latest figures) buthwark has bondon and E ther with out ark are falling ement action gative impacts	low fertility rate ingland. This is migration – why is to be taken s relating to pregn	ancy or maternity status
Race - Refers defined by the	s to the protect neir race, co ns. N.B. Gyps	cted character lour, and na y, Roma and	tionality (includin Traveller are reco	fers to a group of people g citizenship) ethnic or gnised racial groups and
proposed pe		n/business	negative) of plan; this also rt of the duty.	Potential socio- economic impacts/ needs/issues arising from socio-economic disadvantage (positive and negative)
PAN reduction less diverse Cobourg is s (i.e. non-White ward (Old Ken the planning PA3), the totat a whole, Source regards of Sc	in could be the racially than school is <b>91.0</b> ° te UK) and C at Road), the area the sch al percentage outhwark prima outhwark's po evidence ther	hat Camelot it is at pre Black Minor Camelot <b>95.5</b> BME populati nool is in (pla of BME pupil ary pupils are pulation as a refore to show	ourg and the net were to become sent. Presently, rity Ethnic (BME) %. For the local on is <b>75.6%</b> . For anning area 3 – Is are <b>87.4%</b> . As <b>78.5%</b> BME as whole is <b>62.5%</b> . v that closure of a the combined	

Camelot Primary So school by Ofsted, w pupils to succeed' in pupils from a wide ra and 'who speak En significantly high'. It f as an additional lange In terms of staffing, th – adapt and fall to n race will not form pa then no discernible e or action required.	people in Southwark are having less children, and those that are tend to be from the families that have remained, and are the same socio-economic class as the present						
Equality information based		which	abov	e ana	lysis	is	Socio-economic data on which above analysis is based
A table giving the population at schools		•		-			
• •		•	localit Old Kent	-		elow Southwark	
population at schools Group	s and i	Camelot	Old Kent Road Ward	PA3	iven b Southwark pupils	© Southwark population	
Group Bangladeshi Indian	Cobourg	Camelot 1.1% 0.0%	Old Kent Road Ward	PA3	Southwark pupils	Couthwark population	
Group Bangladeshi Indian Pakistani	Cobourg 4.1% 0.4%	Camelot 1.1% 0.0% 2.1%	Old Kent Road Ward 1.7% 1.2% 0.6%	PA3	Southwark pupils	COW Southwark population 1.8% 2.0% 0.7%	
Group Bangladeshi Indian	Cobourg 4.1% 0.4% 1.1% 1.9%	Camelot 1.1% 0.0% 2.1% 1.8%	Old Kent Road Ward 1.7% 1.2% 0.6% 3.5%	PA3	iven b Southwark pupils 2.3% 0.7% 1.8%	elow Southwark population 1.8% 2.0% 0.7% 2.7%	
Group Bangladeshi Indian Pakistani	Cobourg 4.1% 0.4% 1.1% 1.9% 29.1 %	Camelot 1.1% 0.0% 2.1% 1.8% 45.5 %	Old Kent Road Ward 1.7% 1.2% 0.6%	PA3	Southwark pupils	COW Southwark population 1.8% 2.0% 0.7%	
Group Bangladeshi Indian Pakistani Other Asian Background Black African	Cobourg 4.1% 0.4% 1.1% 1.9% 29.1	Camelot 1.1% 0.0% 2.1% 1.8% 45.5 % 10.8	Old Kent Road Ward 1.7% 1.2% 0.6% 3.5% 25.3	PA3 1.4% 0.5% 1.1% 1.8% 33.9	iven b Southwark pupils 2.3% 0.7% 1.8% 25.2	elow Southwark population 1.8% 2.0% 0.7% 2.7%	
Group Bangladeshi Indian Pakistani Other Asian Background Black African Black Caribbean	Cobourg 4.1% 0.4% 1.1% 1.9% 29.1 % 6.3%	Camelot 1.1% 0.0% 2.1% 1.8% 45.5 % 10.8 %	Old Kent Road Ward 1.7% 1.2% 0.6% 3.5% 25.3 % 8.1%	PA3 1.4% 0.5% 1.1% 1.8% 33.9 % 9.5%	iven b Southwark pupils 2.3% 0.7% 0.7% 1.8% 25.2 % 6.3%	elow Southwark population 1.8% 2.0% 0.7% 2.7% 15.7% 5.9%	
Group Bangladeshi Indian Pakistani Other Asian Background Black African	Cobourg 4.1% 0.4% 1.1% 1.9% 29.1 %	Camelot 1.1% 0.0% 2.1% 1.8% 45.5 % 10.8	Old Kent Road Ward 1.7% 1.2% 0.6% 3.5% 25.3 %	PA3 1.4% 0.5% 1.1% 1.8% 33.9 %	iven b Southwark pupils 2.3% 0.7% 1.8% 25.2 %	elow Southwark population 1.8% 2.0% 0.7% 2.7% 15.7%	
Group Group Bangladeshi Indian Pakistani Other Asian Background Black African Black Caribbean Any Other Black Background Chinese Mixed - White & Black	<b>Cobourg</b> 4.1% 0.4% 1.1% 1.9% 29.1 % 6.3% 3.7%	Camelot 1.1% 0.0% 2.1% 1.8% 45.5 % 10.8 % 6.6% 0.3%	Old Kent Road Ward 1.7% 1.2% 0.6% 3.5% 25.3 % 8.1% 4.8% 1.8%	PA3 1.4% 0.5% 1.1% 1.8% 33.9 % 9.5% 6.9% 0.8%	iven b Southwark pupils 2.3% 0.7% 0.7% 1.8% 25.2 % 6.3% 5.2% 1.3%	elow Southwark population 1.8% 2.0% 0.7% 2.7% 15.7% 5.9% 3.5% 2.7%	
Group Group Bangladeshi Indian Pakistani Other Asian Background Black African Black Caribbean Any Other Black Background Chinese Mixed - White & Black African	<b>Cobourg</b> 4.1% 0.4% 1.1% 1.9% 29.1 % 6.3% 3.7% 0.4% 3.7%	Camelot 1.1% 0.0% 2.1% 1.8% 45.5 % 10.8 % 6.6% 0.3% 1.3%	Old Kent Road Ward 1.7% 1.2% 0.6% 3.5% 25.3 % 8.1% 4.8% 1.3%	PA3 1.4% 0.5% 1.1% 1.8% 33.9 % 9.5% 6.9% 0.8% 2.4%	iven b Southwark pupils 2.3% 0.7% 0.7% 1.8% 25.2 % 6.3% 5.2% 1.3% 2.2%	elow Southwark population 1.8% 2.0% 0.7% 2.7% 15.7% 5.9% 3.5% 2.7% 1.2%	
Group Bangladeshi Indian Pakistani Other Asian Background Black African Black Caribbean Any Other Black Background Chinese Mixed - White & Black African Mixed - White & Caribbean	<b>Cobourg</b> 4.1% 0.4% 1.1% 1.9% 29.1 % 6.3% 3.7% 0.4% 3.7% 4.9%	Camelot 1.1% 0.0% 2.1% 1.8% 45.5 % 10.8 % 6.6% 0.3% 1.3% 3.2%	Old Kent Road Ward 1.7% 1.2% 0.6% 3.5% 25.3 % 8.1% 4.8% 1.3% 2.5%	PA3 1.4% 0.5% 1.1% 1.8% 33.9 % 9.5% 6.9% 0.8% 2.4% 3.9%	iven b Southwark pupils 2.3% 0.7% 0.7% 1.8% 25.2 % 6.3% 5.2% 1.3% 2.2% 3.3%	elow Southwark population 1.8% 2.0% 0.7% 2.7% 15.7% 5.9% 3.5% 2.7% 1.2% 2.1%	
Group Bangladeshi Indian Pakistani Other Asian Background Black African Black Caribbean Any Other Black Background Chinese Mixed - White & Black African Mixed - White & Caribbean Mixed - White & Asian	<b>Cobourg</b> 4.1% 0.4% 1.1% 1.9% 29.1 % 6.3% 3.7% 0.4% 3.7% 4.9% 0.4%	Camelot 1.1% 0.0% 2.1% 1.8% 45.5 % 10.8 % 6.6% 0.3% 1.3% 3.2% 0.5%	Old Kent Road Ward 1.7% 1.2% 0.6% 3.5% 25.3 % 8.1% 4.8% 1.3% 2.5% 0.8%	PA3 1.4% 0.5% 1.1% 1.8% 33.9 % 9.5% 6.9% 0.8% 2.4% 3.9% 1.2%	iven b Southwark pupils 2.3% 0.7% 0.7% 1.8% 25.2 % 6.3% 5.2% 1.3% 2.2% 3.3% 1.7%	elow Southwark population 1.8% 2.0% 0.7% 2.7% 15.7% 5.9% 3.5% 2.7% 1.2% 2.1% 1.2%	
Group Bangladeshi Indian Pakistani Other Asian Background Black African Black Caribbean Any Other Black Background Chinese Mixed - White & Black African Mixed - White & Caribbean	<b>Cobourg</b> 4.1% 0.4% 1.1% 1.9% 29.1 % 6.3% 3.7% 0.4% 3.7% 4.9%	Camelot 1.1% 0.0% 2.1% 1.8% 45.5 % 10.8 % 6.6% 0.3% 1.3% 3.2%	Old Kent Road Ward 1.7% 1.2% 0.6% 3.5% 25.3 % 8.1% 4.8% 1.3% 2.5%	PA3 1.4% 0.5% 1.1% 1.8% 33.9 % 9.5% 6.9% 0.8% 2.4% 3.9%	iven b Southwark pupils 2.3% 0.7% 0.7% 1.8% 25.2 % 6.3% 5.2% 1.3% 2.2% 3.3%	elow Southwark population 1.8% 2.0% 0.7% 2.7% 15.7% 5.9% 3.5% 2.7% 1.2% 2.1%	

(Source, Pupil Censu	Source, Pupil Census, 2022)									
Non-White UK/BME	Non-White UK/BME 91.0% 95.5% 76.9% 87.4% 78.5% 62.5%									
Unknown / Missing	0.0%	0.8%	9.5%	3.7%	4.1%	5.3%				
Any Other Ethnic Group	9.0%	8.2%	1.8%	7.6%	7.7%	1.0%				
Any Other White Background	17.2 %	4.2%	9.2%	5.7%	9.3%	13.4%				
Traveller of Irish Heritage	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%				
Gypsy / Roma	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.5%				

#### Mitigating and/or improvement actions to be taken

As there have been no negative impacts relating to race identified, no mitigating or improvement actions are proposed.

Religion and belief - Religion has the meaning usually given to it but belief includes religious and philosophical beliefs including lack of belief (e.g. Atheism). Generally, a belief should affect your life choices or the way you live for it to be included in the definition.

Potential of prop plan; this each par	bosed also incl	policy/de ludes ne	Potential socio-economic impacts/ needs/issues arising from socio-economic disadvantage (positive and negative)		
Statutory decision r religious balance b neither so closure o overall re balance. requireme religion, a that any amalgama with any p	equires us places in between d shool has f Cobourg duction c As rega ent for sta and there changes ation wou	s to consi n the b lifferent d a religiou g Primary of 1FE w rds staff aff to pra- is no evi in staff ld differe	As outlined opposite, neither school has a religious foundation, the closure of Cobourg Primary School and the overall reduction of 1FE will not affect any religious provision that exists. There are no potential socio-economic impacts/ needs/issues arising the change nor any socio- economic disadvantage		
Equality analysis		on on wl	hich above	9	Socio-economic data on which above analysis is based
The perc places (N table belc after the p	on-R) in S w, both b	Southwar efore (20	As outlined above, neither school has a religious foundation, the closure of Cobourg Primary School and the overall reduction of 1FE will		
Type RC	<b>2022</b> 16%	<b>2023</b> 16%	<b>2024</b> 17%		not affect any religious provision that exists. This

CE	14%	13%	14%		means the	no will	no potential
Non-R	70%	70%	70%		socio-econ		impacts/
	1070	1070	1070		needs/issu		arising the
No chang	a in tha n	orcontage	of non-re	ligious	-		any socio-
places has		•		ligious	economic		disadvantage
places na	S DEEN IU	entineu.			(positive	and	negative)
Data is no	nt availabl	on the r	eliaious he	liefs of	<b>N</b>		at change. A
staff.					•		verleaf of the
otani					-		observance
					extracted	•	the 2021
					Census.	No br	eakdown of
					Christian fa	aith is r	ecorded
					Religion	OKR	Southwark
					Christian	51%	46%
					Buddhist	1%	1%
					Hindu	1%	10%
					Jewish	0%	0%
					Muslim	12%	7%
					Sikh	0%	0%
					Other/No religion/not		
					stated	34%	37%
						NS Ce	ensus 2021)
					This show	vs that	t there is a
					slightly hig	her lev	el of Christian
					religious b	elief in	the Old Kent
					•		d conclusions
					can be dra	wn fror	n this.
Mitigating	g and/or i	mproven	nent actio	ns to b	e taken		

As there have been no negative impacts relating to religion or belief identified, no mitigating or improvement actions are proposed.

Sex - A man or a woman.	
	Potential socio-
Potential impacts (positive and negative) of proposed policy/decision/business plan; this also includes needs in relation to each part of the duty.	needs/issues arising
If there was a significant imbalance in the provision	
or uptake of places at the school then the closure of	socio-economic impacts

Cobourg and net reduction of the PAN may affect this. However, the schools are both co-educational and there is no entrance requirement based on gender. Equality information on which above analysis is									al disadvantage as regards the closure of Cobourg and reduction of the combined PANs by 1FE with respect to the gender of pupils. As regards staffing, it could be that female staff are affected more, due to their prevalence in the workforce
Equa base	•	itorm	ation	on w	/nicn	abov	e ana	aiysis	s Socio-economic data on which above
									analysis is based
								schoo	<u> </u>
	•		•	l perc	entag	jes sh	iown k	below l	
schoo		-	-	-		_	-		impact for pupils, no data
	R	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	has been sourced.
Girls Boys	21 19	22 25	27 18	31 16	23 33	19 20	29 26	172 157	
Cob	R	25	2	3	<u> </u>	<u>20</u> 5	<u>20</u>	Total	
Girls	15	16	14	7	12	25	18	107	
Boys	11	10	13	11	16	22	22	105	
	_					_			
Cam	R	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total	
Girls Boys	53% 48%	47% 53%	60% 40%	66% 34%	41% 59%	49% 51%	53% 47%	52% 48%	
Cob	R	1	<del>4078</del>	3	4	5	6	Total	
Girls	58%	-	52%	39%	43%		45%	50%	
Boys	42%	38%	48%	61%	57%	47%	55%	50%	
Comb would comb 48% b Simila the s schoo exper chang	I not o ined i boys. arly as taff a bls of ience ges to	chang roll of are fe any ty any ty a ne	e the both ards emale /pe ac egativ	ne s, of ry ay ad					
amalo			or im	nrové	mor	t acti	one f	o be t	akan
wiitiga	aung	anu/							

HR support is being provided to the predominately female staff through the amalgamation process.

No other mitigating actions in respect of sex are proposed.

<b>Sexual orientation</b> - Whether a person's sexual at own sex, the opposite sex or to both sexes	ttraction is towards their
Potential impacts (positive and negative) of proposed policy/decision/business plan; this also includes needs in relation to each part of the duty.	Potential socio- economic impacts/ needs/issues arising from socio-economic disadvantage (positive and negative)
At age 4-11, children may not have expressly have identified with one sexuality or another, but they may have parents or carers who are LGBTQ+. In either case, admissions do not take into account the sexuality of the child or parent/carer. The proposed amalgamation of schools will therefore have no differential effect on parents whatever their sexuality. Similarly, with regard to staff, sexuality or sexual orientation forms no part of the selection for recruitment or redundancy, so the proposed changes ill not disproportionately affect staff members as regards their sexual orientation.	There are no potential socio-economic impacts as regards the closure of Cobourg Primary School and the overall reduction of PAN totals by 1FE, nor issues arising from disadvantage with respect to the sexual orientation of pupils, parents/carers or staff.
Equality information on which above analysis is based	Socio-economic data on which above analysis is based

The prevalence of different sexualities was covered in the 2021 Census for the first time. This is not (yet) available at a ward level, but the figures for Southwark show the following figures for the population over 16.

Area	Straight or Heterosexual	Gay or Lesbian	Bisexual	Pansexual	Asexual	Queer	All other sexual orientations	Not answered	Non heterosexual
Southwark	82.71	4.53	2.57	0.67	0.07	0.17	0.06	9.21	8.07
London	86.19	2.23	1.52	0.37	0.05	0.06	0.04	9.54	4.27
England	89.37	1.54	1.29	0.23	0.06	0.03	0.02	7.46	3.17

mentioned above, As there are no potential socio-economic impacts as regards the closure of **Cobourg Primary School** and the overall reduction of PAN totals by 1FE, nor issues arising from disadvantage with respect to the sexual pupils, orientation of parents/carers or staff. The figures for prevalence are given in the column adjacent to this one.

Southwark is lower than the national and Londonaverage for heterosexuality and more than twice the London average for gay and lesbian residents over 16

#### Mitigating and/or improvement actions to be taken

As there have been no negative impacts relating to sexual orientation identified, no mitigating or improvement actions are proposed or required.

#### Human Rights

There are 16 rights in the Human Rights Act. Each one is called an Article. They are all taken from the European Convention on Human Rights. The Articles are The right to life, Freedom from torture, inhuman and degrading treatment, Freedom from forced labour, Right to Liberty, Fair trial, Retrospective penalties, Privacy, Freedom of conscience, Freedom of expression, Freedom of assembly, Marriage and family, Freedom from discrimination and the First Protocol

Potential impacts (positive and negative) of proposed policy/decision/business plan

In respect of the 16 rights listed, the proposal to amalgamate the schools and close Cobourg Primary School will not affect any of those listed. This said, the "First Protocol", this states "*The first sentence of Article 2 of Protocol No. 1 guarantees an individual right to education. The second guarantees the right of parents to have their children educated in conformity with their religious and philosophical convictions*". Closure of a school and the net removal of a single form of entry from the combined schools will not endanger this freedom, as there are numerous school places available in other schools nearby

#### Information on which above analysis is based

At the last census time in January 2023, there were 5,790 spare places in Southwark primary schools, including 1,571 spare places in Planning Area 3, 22% and 30% respectively.

#### Mitigating and/or improvement actions to be taken

As there have been no negative impacts relating to human rights identified, no mitigating or improvement actions are proposed or required.

#### **Conclusions**

## Summarise main findings and conclusions of the overall equality impact and needs analysis for this area:

The proposal to amalgamate Cobourg and Camelot Primary Schools with the resulting closure of Cobourg Primary School have more benefits than negative impact for the quality and future of education for children across the two schools. Mitigations have been factored in for vulnerable groups.

**Section 5:** Further equality actions and objectives

5. Further	5. Further actions								
Based on	Based on the initial analysis above, please detail the key mitigating								
		promote equality and	• • •						
and any ar	eas identified as req	uiring more detailed	analysis.						
Number	Description of issue	Action	Timeframe						

As no mitigating or improvement actions to promote equality and tackle inequalities have been proposed, no further actions are required or proposed

**5.1 Equality and socio-economic objectives (for business plans)** Based on the initial analysis above, please detail any of the equality objectives outlined above that you will set for your division/department/service. Under the objective and measure column, please state whether this objective is an existing objective or a suggested addition to the Council Plan.

Objective and measure	Lead officer	Current performan ce (baseline)	Targets	
			Year 1	Year 2
Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable

6. Review of implementation of the equality objectives and actions

As no further actions to promote equality and tackle inequalities have been required or proposed, no further reviews of **the equality objectives and actions** are required

### 7. Implementation Equality Impact and Needs Analysis

No further issues as regards equalities and needs have been identified – therefore no further mitigating or improvement actions to promote equality and tackle inequalities have been proposed as a result of this analysis.